

Table 2 – Example of a Completed Worksheet for Assessment of Severance Social and Distributional Impacts															
	All social groups			No-car households			Young people			Older people			People with disabilities		
	Change in severance [A]	No of people affected [B]	Overall effect [A]*[B]	[A]	[B]	[A]*[B]	[A]	[B]	[A]*[B]	[A]	[B]	[A]*[B]	[A]	[B]	[A]*[B]
Location a	Slight +ve. (+1)	500	+500	+1	200	+200	+1	200	+200	+1	200	+200	+1	50	+50
Location b	Mod. +ve (+2)	1000	+2000	+2	500	+1000	+2	300	+600	+2	400	+800	+2	200	+400
Location c	Large. +ve (+3)	400	+1200	+3	250	+750	+3	100	+300	+2	100	+200	+2	80	+160
Location d	Neutral (0)	600	0	0	200	0	0	200	0	0	350	0	0	240	0
Location e	Slight -ve. (-1)	2000	-2000	-1	900	-900	-1	900	-900	-2	700	-1400	-2	630	-1260
Location f	Mod. -ve. (-2)	400	-800	-2	300	-600	-2	150	-300	-3	200	-600	-3	130	-260
Location n	Slight -ve. (-1)	500	-500	-1	100	-100	-1	100	-100	-2	100	-200	-2	180	-180

Reference Source(s): GIS analysis of locations and number of people in potential vulnerable groups likely to be affected by severance as a result of Scheme X - See GIS Plan 001 for locations *a, b, c* / GIS Plan 002 for locations *d, e, f* / GIS Plan 005 for location *n*.

Assessment Score: For 'All social groups' overall (net) score is +400 with a positive impact = Moderate Beneficial. No car households = Moderate Beneficial, Young People = Slight Adverse, Older People = Moderate Adverse, People with Disabilities = Moderate Adverse:

Qualitative comments: Severance problems identified in location e - include increase in walking distance and slope caused by new pedestrian foot bridge 'A' –impacting on older people and people with disabilities.